



VERISIGN®

Protecting Privacy: The Evolution of DNS Security

Burt Kaliski

Senior Vice President and CTO, Verisign

NSF Technology Transfer to Practice in Cyber Security Workshop

November 4, 2015

Agenda




DNS Overview

Domain Name System (DNS) Overview

- Hierarchical, global name space for Internet names, e.g., `www.example.com`
- DNS records associate IP addresses, other data with domain names
- **Authoritative name servers** publish records, delegate to other name servers
- Clients typically query via a **recursive name server**


DNS Hierarchy - Example



DNS Resolution

- **Resolution** is the process of answering a query by following the hierarchy of name servers
- To resolve `www.example.com`, query root server, then `.com`, then `example.com`
 - Each refers to next in hierarchy
- Recursive name server optimizes process by caching recent results

DNS Resolution - Example



DNS Privacy Risks


- DNS data may be at risk of disclosure:
 - Between client and recursive
 - At recursive name server
 - Between recursive and authoritative
 - At authoritative name server
- Data may also be at risk of modification: privacy risk if client misdirected
- Important to consider such risks as part of overall privacy strategy

Privacy Risks

Risk 1: Between Client and Recursive

- Client effectively reveals browsing history via DNS traffic to recursive name server
- Adversary must be “on path” to see it, but it’s all in one place
- Risk increases when recursive name server deployed outside organization
- How to protect against eavesdropping?


Risk 1: Between Client and Recursive



Risk 2: At Recursive Name Server

- Recursive name server learns client's browsing history through its DNS traffic
- Adversary may try to compromise server to get this data
- Server itself may be “adversary,” misusing data ...
- How to protect against compromise, misuse?


Risk 2: At Recursive Name Server



Risk 3: Between Recursive and Authoritative

- Recursive name server reveals samples of community's browsing history via DNS traffic to authoritative name servers
- Adversary again must be “on path” to see traffic, but all in one place
- Authoritative name servers by definition deployed outside organization
- How to protect against eavesdropping?


Risk 3: Between Recursive and Authoritative



Risk 4: At Authoritative Name Server

- Authoritative name server learns samples of recursive's community's browsing history
- Adversary may again try to compromise server to get this data
- Server itself may again be "adversary"
- How to protect against compromise, misuse?


Risk 4: At Authoritative Name Server




Risk 5: Modification

- In addition to risks related to disclosure of DNS traffic, clients' privacy may also be at risk if DNS responses are modified
- By modifying a DNS response, an adversary can misdirect a client to an incorrect server, facilitating an attack

Risk 5: Modification



Summary of Risks



Risk Mitigations


Mitigating DNS Privacy Risks

- Data handling policies can help mitigate the risks
- Technical enhancements to DNS have also been introduced in recent years to mitigate these risks:
 - DNS-over-TLS
 - qname-Minimization
 - DANE and DNSSEC


Mitigation 1: Data Handling

- Data handling policies, technologies and audits can mitigate risk of compromise, misuse of data at recursive, authoritative servers
- Root, top-level domain servers generally operate under established agreements
- Other authoritative name servers, recursive name servers may not

Risks 2 & 4: Misuse




Mitigation 1: Data Handling




Mitigation 2: DNS-Over-TLS

- Like other Internet protocols, DNS can be made more secure and information disclosure can be reduced by running over Transport Layer Security (TLS)
- IETF DPRIVE working group currently developing DNS-over-TLS specification
- Mitigates eavesdropping (risks 1 & 3)
 - Also mitigates modification in transit

Risks 1 & 3: Eavesdropping




Mitigation 2: DNS-over-TLS




Mitigation 3: qname-Minimization

- DNS information disclosure can be reduced by asking authoritative only enough for referral to next server – not full query name (“qname”) each time
- IETF DNSOP working group currently developing qname-minimization spec
- Partially mitigates eavesdropping (risk 3) w/o encryption or changing authoritative

Risks 1 & 3: Eavesdropping




Mitigation 3: qname-Minimization




Mitigation 4: DNSSEC and DANE

- DNS Security Extensions (DNSSEC) mitigates modification risk by adding digital signatures to DNS records
- Recursive, client can validate that records are unmodified
- DNS-Based Authentication of Named Entities (DANE) extends validation to include web server keys and certificates

Risk 5: Modification



Mitigation 4: DNSSEC and DANE



Summary: Risk Mitigation Matrix

		Risk				
		Disclosure or Misuse				Modification
		Client to Recursive	At Recursive	Recursive to Authoritative	At Authoritative	
Mitigation	Data Handling		Protect		Protect	+
	DNS-over-TLS	Encrypt		Encrypt		+
	qname-minimization			Minimize	Minimize	
	DNSSEC and DANE					Sign Validate

Recommendations

Recommendations for Privacy Professionals

- If DNS is part of the system you're protecting ...
 - Ask if these risks apply
 - Ask if existing mitigations are sufficient
 - Consider how these mitigations can help
 - Ask your DNS provider about its privacy practices

For More Information

Burt Kaliski

bkaliski@verisign.com

www.verisign.com

Q & A

powered by



VERISIGN™